United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/792,235	03/03/2004	Eve Gambla		4672
JoAnne M. Denison DENISON & ASSOCS., PC 212 W. Washington Blvd., Suite 2004			EXAMINER	
			FETSUGA, ROBERT M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 606	506	3751		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/792,235	GAMBLA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert M. Fetsuga	3751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	·	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	1. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ja	anuary 2007.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		· .				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-9</u> is/are pending in the appl	ication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3 and 5</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4 and 7-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	*				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r *					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 March 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/27/07</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/792,235

Art Unit: 3751

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species I in the reply filed on January 26, 2007 is acknowledged.

Claims 3 and 5 are objected to as not encompassing the elected embodiment where applicant's state the contrary at page 4 of the response filed January 26, 2007. Claim 3 recites an "L-shaped" flange which feature is specific to the embodiment of Fig. 3 (pg. 14 ln. 15), and claim 5 recites a handle "provided along the exterior portion of the semi-elliptical walls" which feature appears specific to the embodiment of Fig. 4 (Figs. 1 and 2 are excluded pg. 14 lns. 2-3). Accordingly, claims 3 and 5 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b).

2. The drawings are objected to because reference numeral "166" (pg. 15 ln. 13) is missing. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes

Art Unit: 3751

made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 3. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP \$ 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: Proper antecedent basis for the "six inches to twenty four inches" feature set forth in claim 1, "substantially annular" and "perpendicular" features set forth in claim 2, "exterior portion" set forth in claim 4, and subject matter set forth in claim 9, could not be found in the specification. Applicant is reminded claim terminology in mechanical cases should appear in the descriptive portion of the specification by reference to the drawing(s).
- 4. Claims 2 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point

Page 4

Art Unit: 3751

out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 recites a "substantially annular" flange. The flange 20 does not appear to be "annular", and this use of the term is repugnant to the meaning thereof.

Claim 9 apparently should depend from claim 8 rather than claim 7 and will be considered as such in this Office action only.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Masters et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/792,235

Art Unit: 3751

The Masters et al. (Masters) reference discloses a spray shield comprising: a wall 101; a flange 113; a domed top (illustrated), as claimed. Re claim 1, the wall height appears to be within the recited range (Fig. 4). Re claim 2, the flange is "substantially annular" in the same sense as with applicants' disclosed invention. Re claim 7, the wall extension appears to be within the recited range (col. 2 lns. 15-17).

7. Claims 1, 2, 4 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masters and Gambla et al.

Re claims 1 and 7, the choice of proportions would appear obvious choices to be made.

Re claim 8, although the wall of the Masters spray shield does not include an image, as claimed, attention is directed to the Gambla et al. (Gambla) reference which discloses an analogous spray shield which further includes a wall 124 having an image 120. Therefore, in consideration of Gambla, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the spray shield art to associate an image with the Masters wall in order to provide entertainment.

- 8. Applicant is referred to MPEP 714.02 and 608.01(o) in responding to this Office action.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert M. Fetsuga at telephone number 571/272-4886

Application/Control Number: 10/792,235

Art Unit: 3751

Page 6

who can be most easily reached Monday through Thursday. The Office central fax number is 571/273-8300.

Robert M. Fetsuga

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3751